

## UNITED STATE SPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR AFTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/455,975 05/31/95 RUBIN Ţ 40399/299/NI **EXAMINER** HM22/1010 FOLEY & LARDNER SACUD, C 3000 K STREET N.W. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER STE. 500 WASHINGTON DC 20007-5109 1647 DATE MAILED: 10/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/455,975

RUBIN et al.

Christine Saoud

Group Art Unit 1647



ТН	e peri	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) 🗌	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b)	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	date on determ	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
X	Appell period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Sep 15, 2000</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
		's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 15, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):	
	☐ wi	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
		Il not be entered because:	
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	X	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO.	The state of the s	
		is a narrow and broad recitation for the same element, which is indefinite.	
	□ Ar	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
		proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a gate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
		ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
X	For pu	proses of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
	Claim	s allowed: <u>111-113</u>	
	Claim	s objected to: 73-81	
	Claim	s rejected: <u>38-72, 82-110, 114-121, 123-126, 128, 129, 131-145</u>	
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on has been approved by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)		
	Other	CHRISTINE BAOUD PATENT EXAMINER  Chustine Jaoud	
		Com viii Suoud	